

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,575	08/21/2003	Winnie C. Wu	MSFT-2733/305587.01	MSFT-2733/305587.01 9794	
41505 7	03/08/2005		EXAMINER		
	K WASHBURN LLP Y PLACE - 46TH FLOOR	ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN			
	IIA, PA 19103	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2165		
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	A4-	A 11 44 3			
Office Action Summary		Applicati		Applicant(s)			
		10/646,5		WU ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Neveen		2165			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI MISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex unication. O) days, a reply within the sta ututory period will apply and v will, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2 b) $igtie$ This action is \imath	non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co					
Applicat	ion Papers				·		
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive lle 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	I Stage SAM RIMELL MARY EXAMINER		
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fraction Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/04.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		⁻ O-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-9, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Call (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0143521 A1).

As to claims 1, 8, and 13, <u>Call</u> discloses a storage platform comprising:

a data store in which data stored therein is defined in terms of items, elements, and relationships, wherein an item is a unit of data storable in the data store and comprises one or more elements, an element is an instance of a type comprising one or more fields (See <u>Call</u> page 5, paragraph 0065, also see <u>Call</u> page 11, paragraphs 0115-0117, also see <u>Call</u> page 18, paragraph 0275), and

a relationship is a link between at least two items (See <u>Call</u> page 26, column 1, lines 29-37);

a set of schemas that define different types of items, elements, and relationships (See <u>Call</u> page 11, paragraphs 0115-0117); and

an application programming interface comprising a class for each of the different items, elements, and relationships defined in the set of schemas (See <u>Call</u> page 25, paragraphs 0376-

Art Unit: 2165

0375, also see Call page 5, paragraph 0070).

As to claims 2, 9, and 14, Call discloses wherein data may also be stored in the data store in the form of an extension to an existing item type, and wherein the application programming interface comprises a class for each different item extension (See Call page 26, column 2, lines 1-17).

As to claim 3, Call discloses wherein the class for each type of item, element, and relationship is generated automatically based on the set of schemas that define each type of item, element, and relationship (See Call page 25, paragraph 0374, also see Call pages 11-12, paragraph 0125).

As to claims 6, and 12, Call discloses comprising a database engine on which the data store is implemented, and wherein the different types of items, elements, and relationships in the data store are implemented in the database engine as user-defined types (UDT) (See Call pages 11-12, paragraphs 0125-0128).

As to claim 7, Call discloses wherein the application programming interface provides a query model that enables application programmers to form queries based on various properties of the items in the data store, in a manner that insulates the application programmer from the details of the query language of the database engine (See Call page 11, paragraphs 0120-0124, also see

Art Unit: 2165

Call page 5, paragraphs 0070-0071).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-5, 10-11, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Call</u> (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0143521 A1) in view of <u>Freyssinet et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,477,564 B1).

As to claims 4, 10, and 15, <u>Call</u> does not teach wherein the classes for each type of item, element, and relationship define a set of data classes, and wherein the application programming interface further comprises a second set of classes that define a common set of behaviors for the data classes.

Freyssinet et al. teaches wherein the classes for each type of item, element, and relationship define a set of data classes, and wherein the application programming interface further comprises a second set of classes that define a common set of behaviors for the data classes (See Freyssinet et al. column 6, lines 31-46, also see Freyssinet et al. column 5, lines 28-53).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Call</u> to include the classes for each type of item,

element, and relationship define a set of data classes, and wherein the application programming interface further comprises a second set of classes that define a common set of behaviors for the data classes.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Call</u> by the teaching of <u>Freyssinet et al.</u> to include the classes for each type of item, element, and relationship define a set of data classes, and wherein the application programming interface further comprises a second set of classes that define a common set of behaviors for the data classes because it allows for ease of data integration and assists in decision making process (See <u>Freyssinet et al.</u> column 1, lines 23-40).

As to claims 5, 11, and 16, <u>Call</u> as modified discloses wherein the second set of classes comprise a first class that represents a storage platform scope and that provides the context for queries on the data store and a second class the represents the results of a query on the data store (See <u>Call</u> page 21, paragraphs 0321-0322, also see <u>Freyssinet et al.</u> column 5, lines 20-50).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

<u>Faybishenko et al.</u> (U.S. Pub.. No. 2003/0158839 A1) teaches element and element value type and context stored in a database.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,575 Page 6

Art Unit: 2165

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 571-272-4074.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5: 30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 571-272-4038. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Neveen Abel-Jalil March 2, 2005

> SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER